Appln. No.: 09/942,761

Reply to Office Action of June 23, 2010

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The non-final Office Action of June 23, 2010, has been reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto. Claims 1, 33, 49, 52, 55, 57, 58, and 69 have been amended, claims 46, 48, and 50 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and no new claims have been added. No new matter has been introduced. Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 33, 34, 36-39, 49, 52, 53, 55, and 57-68 are pending in this application upon entry of the present amendment. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that the application contains allowable subject matter. Specifically, the Office Action indicates that claim 68 is allowed. Claim 68 has been amended to place this claim in a preferred form, and should remain in condition for allowance. For reasons explained in more detail below, Applicants believe that the additional pending claims are allowable as well.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 33, 34, 36-39, 46, 48-50, 52, 53, 55, and 57-67 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for allegedly failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, the Office Action alleges that a contradiction exists in independent claims 1, 33, 49, and 58 between the recited step of displaying a list of selectable options for saving, reviewing, and discarding the received profile message, and the subsequent step of storing the profile fields. Notwithstanding the merits of the Office's argument, in order to expedite allowance of the instant application, Applicants have amended claims 1, 33, 49, and 58 as suggested by the Office to recite that the profile fields are stored "in response to" a first user input selecting the first option to save the received profile message.

The Office also rejects claims 46, 48, 50, 52, 55, and 57, alleging that each of these claims contain steps that would only be taken in response to a user deciding to save the profile fields. In order to expedite allowance, claims 46, 48, and 50 have been canceled without

Appln. No.: 09/942,761

Reply to Office Action of June 23, 2010

prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 52, 55, and 57 have been amended to clarify that certain steps are performed in response to, or as part of, receiving the first user input.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the claims 1, 2, 4-11, 33, 34, 36-39, 49, 52, 53, 55, and 57-67 fully comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better form for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully urged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the below-listed number.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated this 13th day of September, 2010

/Brian J. Brisnehan/

Brian J. Brisnehan, Registration No. 60,462

1100 13th St. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005-4051 Tel: (202) 824-3324

Fax: (202) 824-3001